

Rates for the reactions $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$ and $\gamma\phi$

M.P. Locher^a, Y. Lu^a and B.S. Zou^b

^a Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

^b Queen Mary and Westfield College, London E1 4NS, UK

Abstract

We study $\bar{p}p$ annihilation at rest into $\pi\phi$ and $\gamma\phi$. Rescattering by $\overline{K^*}K + K^*\overline{K}$ and $\rho^+\rho^-$ for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$ states is sizable, of order $(0.90 \text{ to } 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$ in the branching ratio, but smaller than experiment. For $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$ the rescattering contributions are negligible, but the $\gamma\phi$ channel is well explained by a $\rho\phi$ intermediate state combined with vector meson dominance.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we examine the rates for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$ and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$ at rest by calculating the contributions from two-meson-intermediate states. The calculation of rescattering contributions for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \phi\phi$ in flight from Ref. [1] is extended to the present reactions. In addition, for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$, vector meson dominance mechanisms are evaluated.

2. The reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$

We start by evaluating the two meson rescattering contributions. Important contributions are expected from intermediate states containing a K^* and a K , as shown in Fig. 1a which describes the contribution to $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$. Similarly the contributions from $\rho^+\rho^-$ intermediate states (Fig. 1b) are expected to be large since $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ is large, compensating the smallness of the $\phi \rightarrow \pi\rho$ vertex. Following the formalism of Ref. [1], we describe for definiteness the amplitude for $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} \rightarrow \pi\phi$

$$T = \int \frac{d^4p_1}{(2\pi)^4} T_S T_{\phi K \bar{K}} T_{K^* K \pi} G_1 G_2 G_3. \quad (1)$$

Here the amplitudes $T_{\phi K \bar{K}}$, $T_{K^* K \pi}$ and T_S are for the $\phi K \bar{K}$, $K^* K \pi$ and $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}$ three body vertices, respectively. The meson propagators are denoted by G_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). In the following we evaluate the part of the amplitude T of Eq. (1) where K^* and $\bar{K}$ propagate on the mass shell. All the amplitudes in Eq. (1) are then directly related to experimental information[2, 3, 4]. Similar equations hold for $\rho^+\rho^-$ intermediate states.

We define the vertices in Eq. (1) in relativistic notation as follows:

- The amplitude for the annihilation $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K}$ with the smallest number of

derivatives is

$$T_S = g_S \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} e_\alpha(\bar{p}p, m_1) p_\beta(\bar{p}p) e_\gamma(K^*, m_2) p_\delta(K^*), \quad (2)$$

where $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the rank-4 antisymmetric tensor, $e_\alpha(\bar{p}p, m_1)$ and $e_\beta(K^*, m_2)$ the polarization vectors of the initial state and K^* meson, respectively. The parameter g_S is related to the $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K}$ transition strength by¹

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K}} = \frac{1}{12\pi} g_S^2 P_{K^*\bar{K}}^3. \quad (3)$$

- The amplitudes for the K^* and ϕ decays are parametrized as follows,

$$T_{\phi K\bar{K}} = g_{\phi K\bar{K}} e(\phi, m_3) \cdot (2p_2 - q_2), \quad (4)$$

$$T_{K^*K\pi} = g_{K^*K\pi} e(K^*, m_2) \cdot (2q_1 - p_1). \quad (5)$$

The coupling constants are related to the vector meson decay widths by

$$\Gamma_{\phi K\bar{K}} = \frac{1}{6\pi} \frac{g_{\phi K\bar{K}}^2 P_{K\bar{K}(\phi)}^3}{M_\phi^2} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{K^*K\pi} = \frac{1}{6\pi} \frac{g_{K^*K\pi}^2 P_{K\pi(K^*)}^3}{M_{K^*}^2} \quad (7)$$

where $P_{K\bar{K}(\phi)}$ and $P_{K\pi(K^*)}$ are the magnitudes of the 3-momenta of the decay products in the CM frames of the vector mesons.

Next we calculate the amplitude Eq. (1) for the on-shell $K^{*+}K^-$ intermediate state:

$$T_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^- \rightarrow \phi\pi}^{\text{on-shell}} = \frac{g_S g_{\phi K\bar{K}} g_{K^*K\pi} P}{32\pi^2} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{e(\bar{p}p, m_1) \cdot (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) e(\phi, m_3) \cdot (2p_2 - q_2)}{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2 + m_K^2 - (E_\phi - E_{K^-})^2} \quad (8)$$

¹We denote the magnitudes of three-momenta by capital letters.

where the momenta in Fig. 1a are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= (E_{K^{*+}}, -\mathbf{p}), \quad p_2 = (E_{K^-}, \mathbf{p}) \\
q_1 &= (E_\pi, -\mathbf{q}), \quad q_2 = (E_\phi, \mathbf{q}) \\
p_3 &= p_1 - q_1 = q_2 - p_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The resulting decay width of $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow \pi\phi$ is

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^- \rightarrow \pi\phi} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{Q}{M_{\bar{p}p}^2} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{m_1 m_3} |T_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^- \rightarrow \pi\phi}^{\text{on-shell}}|^2. \tag{10}$$

After summing over the spins and doing the angle integrations, we arrive at

$$\sum_{m_1 m_3} |T_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^- \rightarrow \pi\phi}^{\text{on-shell}}|^2 = \frac{g_S^2 g_{\phi K \bar{K}}^2 g_{K^* K \pi}^2 P^4}{512 \pi^2} C_0^2. \tag{11}$$

Here the dimensionless function C_0 obtained from the loop integration is

$$C_0 = 2z + (1 - z^2) \ln \left| \frac{z + 1}{z - 1} \right| \tag{12}$$

with $z = (P^2 + Q^2 + m_K^2 - (E_\phi - E_{K^-})^2)/(2PQ)$.

Collecting all factors, we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^- \rightarrow \phi\pi}}{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+}K^-}} = \frac{9}{256} \frac{P Q M_\phi^2 M_{K^*}^2 \Gamma_{\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-} \Gamma_{K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+ \pi_0}}{M_{\bar{p}p}^2 P_{K^+ K^- (\phi)}^3 P_{K^+ \pi_0 (K^{*+})}^3} C_0^2. \tag{13}$$

In Eq. (13) we have only included the contribution from intermediate $K^{*+}K^-$. Note that $\Gamma_{K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0}$ is 1/3 of the total K^{*+} width and $\Gamma_{\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-}$ is 1/2 of the total ϕ width. Since our reaction is restricted to isospin one and negative charge conjugation, the contributions from $K^{*+}K^-$, $K^{*-}K^+$, $K^{*0}\bar{K}^0$ and $\bar{K}^{*0}K^0$ are all equal on the amplitude level. Therefore the total width of $\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow \pi\phi$ from all K^*K states is

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K} + \bar{K}^* K \rightarrow \pi\phi} = 16 \Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^- K^{*+} \rightarrow \pi\phi} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K} + \bar{K}^* K} = 4 \Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^{*+} K^-} . \quad (15)$$

The total branching ratio in the on-shell approximation is then

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K} + \bar{K}^* K \rightarrow \pi \phi}}{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K} + \bar{K}^* K}} = 4 \frac{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^- K^{*+} \rightarrow \phi \pi}}{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^3S_1) \rightarrow K^- K^{*+}}} . \quad (16)$$

The experimental branching ratio is $BR[\bar{p}p(^3S_1, I = 1, C = -1) \rightarrow K^* \bar{K} + \bar{K}^* K] \geq (23.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$ from Ref. [3]. This number is a lower limit since the projection on $I = 1$, $I_3 = 0$, $C = -1$ states is experimentally incomplete[3]. The missing contributions are of order 30%. Taking the lower limit we calculate the branching ratio of $\pi\phi$ from Eq. (16). The result is $BR(\pi\phi) = \Gamma_{\pi\phi}/\Gamma_{\text{tot}} = 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Among similar lines we have also estimated the contributions from $\rho^+\rho^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ [5] intermediate states. The branching ratio for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ is experimentally not known. Conservative interpolations indicate a range of 10 to 40×10^{-3} . If we use the theoretical estimate 23.6×10^{-3} from [6] the $\pi\phi$ branching ratio is 0.8×10^{-4} . The $\rho^+\rho^-$ contribution is thus expected to be bigger than K^*K . The corresponding estimate for the $\pi\phi$ branching ratio from $\pi^+\pi^-$ states is 1.0×10^{-6} which is negligible. So far our results are for intermediate states propagating on-shell. However, the kaon with momentum p_3 in Fig. 1a is off mass-shell and so is the exchanged pion in Fig. 1b for the $\rho^+\rho^-$ diagram. We introduce a monopole form factor $F(p_3^2) = (\Lambda^2 - m_K^2)/(\Lambda^2 - p_3^2)$ at the $\phi K K$ and $K^* K \pi$ vertices in Fig. 1a. For the $\rho^+\rho^-$ case just exchange m_K with m_π . Varying Λ from 1.2 GeV to 2.0 GeV, the corresponding variation of the $\pi\phi$ branching ratio from K^*K states is $(0.14 \text{ to } 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$. Similarly the corresponding range for the $\rho^+\rho^-$ exchange is $(0.31 \text{ to } 0.52) \times 10^{-4}$. The reduction is smaller in this case since the exchanged pion is almost on shell. In addition we expect a contribution of similar size[7]

from K^*K or $\rho^+\rho^-$ states propagating off-shell. Adding this contribution, considering the range induced by the variation of the form factors and the experimental uncertainties we obtain the following range for the total rescattering contribution

$$BR(\pi\phi) = (0.90 \text{ to } 2.6) \times 10^{-4} \quad (17)$$

while the experiment[8] gives $(4.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$. Given the theoretical uncertainties the rescattering contributions are thus sizable but somewhat small when compared to experiment.

3. The reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$

a) Rescattering contribution

We calculate K^*K on-shell rescattering for $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \gamma\phi$, corresponding to Fig. 1c. The procedure is very similar to the $\pi\phi$ case, with the result

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} + \bar{K}^*K \rightarrow \gamma\phi}}{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} + \bar{K}^*K}} = \frac{9}{64} \frac{P Q M_\phi^2 M_{K^*}^2 \Gamma_{\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-} \Gamma_{K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+\gamma}}{M_{\bar{p}p}^2 P_{K^+K^-(\phi)}^3 P_{K^+\gamma(K^{*+})}^3} C_0^2. \quad (18)$$

Here the function C_0 is the same as in Eq. (12) with suitable replacements of momenta and masses. Using the value 12.0×10^{-4} for the branching ratio of $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} + \bar{K}^*K$ from Ref. [3], we have $BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \gamma\phi] = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$. Including a form factor $F(p_3^2) = (\Lambda^2 - m_K^2)/(\Lambda^2 - p_3^2)$ at the ϕKK and $K^*K\gamma$ vertices and varying Λ from 1.2 GeV to 2.0, the corresponding variation of the $\gamma\phi$ branching ratio is 3.0×10^{-8} to 9.4×10^{-8} . Comparing with the experimental value of $BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \gamma\phi] = (1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$, we find the on-shell contribution from K^*K is about two to three orders of magnitude smaller.

b) Contribution from $\rho\phi$ via vector meson dominance

Vector meson dominance has been used to successfully estimate the branching ratios of $\bar{p}p$ to $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\pi, \gamma\eta$, $\gamma\omega$ and $\gamma\eta'$ [9]. For our reaction vector meson dominance applied to a $\rho\phi$ intermediate state is illustrated in Fig. 2a. In order to evaluate this diagram, we parametrize the amplitude of $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi$ by

$$M_A = g \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e_\alpha(\rho) p_\beta(\rho) e_\mu(\phi) p_\nu(\phi) \quad (19)$$

where g is the strength of the annihilation. The constant g is related to the partial width of $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi$ by

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi} = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} P_1^3. \quad (20)$$

Here P_1 is the 3-momentum of $\rho\phi$ in the $\bar{p}p$ CM frame.

The invariant amplitude of $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi$ can then be written as

$$T = g \gamma_{\rho\gamma} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e_\alpha(\phi) p_\beta(\phi) G_{\mu\delta}(\rho) e^\delta(\gamma) p_\nu(\gamma) \quad (21)$$

where $G_{\mu\delta}(\rho)$ is the propagator of the ρ meson with a 4-momentum corresponding to that of the photon. The effective $\gamma\rho$ coupling constant $\gamma_{\rho\gamma}$ can be expressed by the universal constant f_ρ as $\gamma_{\rho\gamma} = eM_\rho^2/f_\rho$ [10]. The partial width is therefore

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi} = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{e^2}{f_\rho^2} Q_1^3 \quad (22)$$

with Q_1 being the three momentum of $\gamma\phi$ in the $\bar{p}p$ CM frame.

Combining Eq. (20) and (22), we have

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi}}{\Gamma_{\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi}} = \frac{e^2}{f_\rho^2} \frac{Q_1^3}{P_1^3}. \quad (23)$$

With $e^2/4\pi = 1/137$, $f_\rho^2/4\pi = 2.5$ from [10] and $BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi] = (3.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ from Ref. [5], the contribution from ρ dominance is

$$BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \rho\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi] = 1.27 \times 10^{-5}. \quad (24)$$

This is very close to the preliminary result of the order of 1.0×10^{-5} from the Crystal Barrel Collaboration [11, 12].

Because the $\omega\gamma$ coupling constant is one third of the $\rho\gamma$ value(see [13], e.g.), the ω contribution to $\gamma\phi$ is smaller. With $BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \omega\phi] = (5.3 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$ from Ref. [5], we obtain

$$BR[\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \omega\phi \rightarrow \gamma\phi] = 2.8 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (25)$$

The interference between the ρ and ω amplitudes is destructive from experimental analysis[9]. The reduction is however not significant in our case.

We have also applied vector meson dominance to $\bar{p}p(^1S_0) \rightarrow \gamma\omega$. The experimental rates for the intermediate states are $BR[\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\rho] = 1.91 \times 10^{-2}$ [5, 14] and $BR[\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega] = 3.32 \times 10^{-2}$ [15]. Using Eq. (23) with suitable replacements, we obtain $BR[\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\rho \rightarrow \omega\gamma] = 1.76 \times 10^{-4}$ and $BR[\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega \rightarrow \omega\gamma] = 0.72 \times 10^{-4}$. In the case of destructive interference the resulting branching ratio is $BR[\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\omega] = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ while the experiment[9] gives $(6.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-5}$, indicating preference for destructive interference.

4. Concluding remarks

We have evaluated the leading rescattering contributions for the reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$. We found that the contributions from $\rho^+\rho^-$ and K^*K intermediate states are largest and similar in size with a combined contribution of order $(0.9 \text{ to } 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$ for the branching

ratio² of this OZI suppressed reaction. This contribution is sizable but it does not quite reach experiment. For the reaction $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$ the contribution from K^*K rescattering is negligible (of order 10^{-7} in the branching ratio). However, the $\rho\phi$ and $\omega\phi$ intermediate states combined with vector meson dominance, see Eq. (24) and (25), easily explain the experimental rate.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Claude Amsler for numerous discussions and information on experimental results. We thank M.G. Sapozhnikov for drawing our attention to Ref. [16]. We are indebted to Roland Rosenfelder for a critical reading of the manuscript and David Bugg for discussions.

²After completing this calculation we have received the preprint [16] where rescattering contributions for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\phi$ and similar reactions have been evaluated. The result obtained for the on-shell K^*K contribution to $\pi\phi$, e.g., is too big. A large enhancement has been traced to an error in [16] for the loop integration, compare with our Eq. (12).

References

- [1] Lu, Y., Zou, B.S., Locher, M.P.: Z. Phys. A**345**, 207 (1993)
- [2] Review of Particle Properties, Phys. Rev. D**45**(1992)No. 11
- [3] Conforto, B. et al.: Nucl. Phys. B**3**, 469 (1967)
- [4] Bettini, A. et al.: Nuovo Cimento **63A**, 1199 (1969)
- [5] Amsler, C., Myhrer, F.: Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **41**, 219 (1991)
- [6] Cieplý, A., Locher, M.P., Zou, B.S.: Z. Phys. A**345**, 41 (1993)
- [7] Locher, M.P., Zou, B.S.: Z. Phys. A**340**, 187 (1991)
- [8] Braune, K. (Crystal Barrel Collab.): Nucl. Phys. A **558**, 296c (1993)
- [9] Amsler, C. et al.: Phys. Lett. B **311**, 371 (1993)
- [10] Sakurai, J.J.: Currents and Mesons (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969)
- [11] Fässler, M.A.: Hadron '93, Como, 1993
- [12] Amsler, C. et al.: submitted to Phys. Lett. B. (1993)
- [13] Ulf-G. Meissner, Phys. Rep. **161**, 213 (1988)
- [14] Dover, C.B. et al.: Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **29**, 87 (1992)
- [15] Amsler, C. et al.: Z.Phys.C**58**, 175 (1993)

- [16] Buzatu, D., Lev, F.M.: On the role of K^*K intermediate states in OZI-rule violating reactions of antiproton annihilation, Dubna preprint 1993

Figure captions

Fig. 1: a. Triangle diagram for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^*K \rightarrow \pi\phi$; b. Triangle diagram for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^- \rightarrow \pi\phi$; c. Triangle diagram for $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^*K \rightarrow \gamma\phi$.

Fig. 2: a. ρ dominance contribution to $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$; b. ω dominance contribution to $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\phi$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/nucl-th/9311021v1>